

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brands 19

P.O. Bax 450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/695,108 10/25/2000 Robert S. Morley 91436-213 1598 **EXAMINER** 33000 7590 10/23/2006 DOCKET CLERK NGUYEN, THANH T P.O. DRAWER 800889 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DALLAS, TX 75380 2144

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	09/695,108	MORLEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Tammy T. Nguyen	2144
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Augu	st 14, 2006.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-38 and 44-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-38 and 44-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-38 and 44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/695,108

Art Unit: 2144



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATEN
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFI
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20;
WWW.USDIO.(

Detailed Office Action

- 1. This action is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief filed on 11/18/05.
- 2. Claims 1-38, and 44-47 have been examined.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 37, 38, 44, 45, 46, and 47 are objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner is unclear whether how can applicants have only one an aggregate logical device or how can applicant logically associating a selection of at least one device component (since applicant have only at least one component). For exampled, "communicating with said at least one of a plurality of device components". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-15, 17-38, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter et al., (hereinafter Carter) U.S. Patent No. 6,266,788 in view of Phillip Waisin Ching., (hereinafter Ching) U.S. Patent No. 6,560,620.
- 6. As to claim 1, Carter the invention substantially as claimed, Carter discloses including a method of providing device control to at least one device component, said device control enabling interaction of a data network service with said at least one device component, said method comprising: communicating with said at least one device component (Fig.1 communicating with device component); in an aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); maintaining a logical model of said aggregate logical device (Fig.4 shows logical model of aggregate logical device, and col.7, lines 39-49) and providing access to said data network service by representing said selection of said at least one device component to said data network service as said aggregate logical device (Fig.2,

col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45). However, Carter does not explicitly disclose logically association a selection of at least one device component.

- 7. In the same field of endeavor, Ching discloses (e.g., hierarchical comparison system and method). Ching discloses logically association a selection of at least one device component (Ching teaches selected components of the user computer 102), [see Ching col.11, lines 5-10, and col.4, line 5 to col.10, line 67].
- 8. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Ching's teachings of hierarchical document comparison system and method with the teachings of Carter, for the purpose of that the user can quickly identify the changes that have been made in the computer [see Ching col.2, lines 61-65]. Thus Carter provides the motivation by stating that there exist a need that provides an economic and efficient way to correct errors in computer networking software without having to develop an expensive independent stack in a time intensive manner [see Carter col.3, lines 49-53].
- 9. As to claim 2, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said maintaining said logical model comprises maintaining a state of each of said selection of said at least one device component in said logical model (col.7, lines 39-45).
- 10. As to claim 3, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said communicating uses a stimulus message format (col.8, lines 45-55).
- 11. As to claim 4, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said stimulus message format employs the Megaco Protocol (This protocol is inherent because it just a standard protocol for all communication in the Internet).
 - 12. As to claim 6, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said stimulus

message format employ the H.323 protocol (col.4, lines 65-67).

- 13. As to claim 7, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said maintaining said logical model further comprises dynamically adding a given device component to said logical model (col.7, lines 37-42).
- 14. As to claim 8, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said maintaining further comprises dynamically removing a given device component from said logical model (col.4, lines 40-45).
- 15. As to claim 9, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein a server of said data network service is physically associated with at least one of said selection of said at least one device component (Fig.1 communication device component).
- 16. As to claim 11, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said data network service is a first data network service and wherein said providing comprises: executing a first data network service adapter application corresponding to a server of said first data network service (Fig 1 server 17); and logically associating said first data network service adapter application with said aggregate logical device (col.4, lines 40-45).
- 17. As to claim 12, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising: providing access to a second data network service by representing said selection of said at least one device component to said second data network service as said aggregate logical device; and where said providing includes executing a second data network service adapter application corresponding to said server of said second data network service and logically associating said second data network service adapter application with said aggregate logical device (Fig.2, col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45).

- 18. As to claim 13, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising routing, as necessary, said communicating with said at least one device component to an appropriate one of either said first data network service adapter application or said second data network service adapter application (Fig.1 show second data network PSTN).
- 19. As to claim 14, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said providing comprises: executing a compound data network service adapter application comprising a logical association of said first data network service adapter application corresponding to said server of said first data network service (Fig.1 Server of first data network server); and a second data network service adapter application corresponding to said server of said second data network service (Fig.1 shows second network service PSTN); and logically associating said compound data network service adapter application with said aggregate logical device(Fig.1 logically connect by server 17).
- 20. As to claim 15, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said server of said first data network service is functionally associated with said server of said second data network service (Fig.1, second network server 17 and PSTN).
- 21. As to claim 17, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising enforcing visibility rules for mediating said communication with said at least one device component as said communication relates to said first data network service adapter application and said second data network service adapter application (Fig.1 shows second network service).
- 22. As to claim 18, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said at least one device component is a plurality of device components (Fig.1, communicate device component).

Application/Control Number: 09/695,108 Page 7

Art Unit: 2144

23. As to claim 19, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein at least one of said plurality of device components is connected to a data network (Fig1, plurality of device components is connect to a data network).

- 24. As to claim 20, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising: logically associating at least one device component of said plurality of device components in a second aggregate logical device (Fig.1); and maintaining a second logical model of said second aggregate logical device (Fig.1 logical model).
- 25. As to claim 21, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising providing access to said data network service by representing said at least one device component of said plurality of device components to said data network service as said second aggregate logical device (Fig.2, col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45).
- 26. As to claim 22, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising providing access to a second data network service by representing said at least one device component of said plurality of device components to said second data network service as said second aggregate logical device (Fig.1 shows second aggregate logical device).
- 27. As to claim 23, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein a given device component of said selection of said plurality of device components is a primary network intelligence for providing device control to further ones of said plurality of device components (Fig.2 device control 32 of plurality device components).
- 28. As to claim 24, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein communication with said primary network intelligence uses a stimulus message format (col.8, lines 45-55).
 - 29. As to claim 25, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said stimulus

message format employs the Megaco Protocol (This protocol is inherent because it just a standard protocol for all communication in the Internet).

- 30. As to claim 26, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein, upon loss of communication with said primary network intelligence, said method further comprises: communicating with said further ones of said plurality of device components (Fig.1 communicating with device component); logically associating said further ones of said plurality of device components with one another as a second aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); maintaining a second logical model of said second aggregate logical device (Fig.1 shows second logical model); and providing access to said data network service by representing said further ones of said plurality of device components to said data network service as said second aggregate logical device (Fig.1).
- 31. As to claim 27, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said providing comprises: converting an indication of a change in a state of said logical model of said aggregate logical device into a request of said data network service and sending said request to said data network service (Fig.1 sending request to data network service).
- 32. As to claim 28, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said providing comprises: receiving a response to said request of said data network service (; generating an interpretation of said response; and providing instructions, based on said interpretation, to change said state of said logical model of said aggregate logical device (col.2, lines 45-50).
 - 33. As to claim 29, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said response

comprises a media flow and, responsive to said interpretation of said response, said communicating further comprises sending said media flow to a given device component of said selection of said at least one device component (col.2, lines 45-50).

- 34. As to claim 30 Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said generating said interpretation further comprises, before said sending, converting said media flow from a format in which said media flow was received to a format understood by said given device component of said selection of said at least one device component (Fig.1 device components).
- 35. As to claim 31, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said response comprises a data file and, responsive to said interpretation of said response, said communicating further comprises sending said data file to a given device component of said selection of said at least one device component (col.2, lines 45-50).
- 36. As to claim 32, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said generating said interpretation further comprises, before said sending, converting said data from a format in which said data file was received to a format understood by said given device component of said selection of said at least one device component (col5, lines 35-40).
- 37. As to claim 33, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein sending said request to said data network service further comprises instructing said data network service to direct a response to a given device component of said selection of said at least one device component (col.6, lines 35-45).
 - 38. As to claim 34, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said

aggregate logical device comprises a logical device element corresponding to each of said at least one device component and wherein a given logical device element corresponds to a particular device component and a device type of said given logical device element is different from a device type of said particular device component (Fig.1 shows communicating device component).

- 39. As to claim 35, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said device type of said logical device element is "pointing device" and said device type of said particular device component is "microphone" (col.4, lines 45-50).
- 40. As to claim 36, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising using speech recognition to convert a message received from said "microphone" device type to a state change of said "pointing device" device type (col.4, 55-65).
- 41. As to claim 37, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, including a network intelligence for providing device control to at least one device component, said network intelligence comprising; a message driver for communicating with said at least one device component (Fig1, shows every component must have a message driver to be able to communicate) a resource context manager for: in an aggregate logical device (Fig.1 communicating with device component); in an aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); maintaining a logical model of said aggregate logical device (Fig.4 shows logical model of aggregate logical device, and col.7, lines 39-49); and a service adapter for representing said selection of said at least one device component to said data network service as said aggregate logical device to provide access to said data network service (Fig.3, col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45). However, Carter does not explicitly disclose logically association a selection of at least one device component.

- 42. In the same field of endeavor, Ching discloses (e.g., hierarchical comparison system and method). Ching discloses logically association a selection of at least one device component (Ching teaches selected components of the user computer 102), [see Ching col.11, lines 5-10, and col.4, line 5 to col.10, line 67].
- 43. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Ching's teachings of hierarchical document comparison system and method with the teachings of Carter, for the purpose of that the user can quickly identify the changes that have been made in the computer [see Ching col.2, lines 61-65]. Thus Carter provides the motivation by stating that there exist a need that provides an economic and efficient way to correct errors in computer networking software without having to develop an expensive independent stack in a time intensive manner [see Carter col.3, lines 49-53].
- 44. As to claim 38, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, including a computer readable medium containing computer-executable instructions which, when performed by a processor in a network intelligence for providing device control to at least one device component, cause the processor to: communicate with said at least one device component (Fig.1 shows device components communicate with each others); in an aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); maintain a logical model of said aggregate logical device (Fig.4 shows logical model of aggregate logical device, and col.7, lines 39-49); represent said selection of said at least one device component to said data network service as said aggregate logical device to provide access to said data network service (Fig.2, col.4, lines

57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45). However, Carter does not explicitly disclose logically association a selection of at least one device component.

- 45. In the same field of endeavor, Ching discloses (e.g., hierarchical comparison system and method). Ching discloses logically association a selection of at least one device component (Ching teaches selected components of the user computer 102), [see Ching col.11, lines 5-10, and col.4, line 5 to col.10, line 67].
- 46. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Ching's teachings of hierarchical document comparison system and method with the teachings of Carter, for the purpose of that the user can quickly identify the changes that have been made in the computer [see Ching col.2, lines 61-65]. Thus Carter provides the motivation by stating that there exist a need that provides an economic and efficient way to correct errors in computer networking software without having to develop an expensive independent stack in a time intensive manner [see Carter col.3, lines 49-53].
- 47. As to claim 44, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of providing device control to at least one device component, said device control enabling interaction of a stand-alone service with said at least one device component, said method comprising: communicating with said at least one device component (Fig.1 shows device components communicate with each others); in an aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); maintaining a logical model of said aggregate logical device (Fig.4 shows logical model of aggregate logical device, and col.7, lines 39-49); and providing access to said stand-alone service by representing said selection of said at least one device component

to said stand-alone service as said aggregate logical device (Fig.2, col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45). However, Carter does not explicitly disclose logically association a selection of at least one device component.

- 48. In the same field of endeavor, Ching discloses (e.g., hierarchical comparison system and method). Ching discloses logically association a selection of at least one device component (Ching teaches selected components of the user computer 102), [see Ching col.11, lines 5-10, and col.4, line 5 to col.10, line 67].
- 49. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Ching's teachings of hierarchical document comparison system and method with the teachings of Carter, for the purpose of that the user can quickly identify the changes that have been made in the computer [see Ching col.2, lines 61-65]. Thus Carter provides the motivation by stating that there exist a need that provides an economic and efficient way to correct errors in computer networking software without having to develop an expensive independent stack in a time intensive manner [see Carter col.3, lines 49-53].
- 50. As to claim 45, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of providing device control to at least one device component, said device control enabling interaction of a data network service with said at least one device component, and method comprising: computing with said at least one device component, wherein said communicating uses a stimulus message format and employs the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) (Fig.1 shows device components communicate with each others in an aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); maintaining a logical model of said

aggregate logical device (Fig.4 shows logical model of aggregate logical device, and col.7, lines 39-49); and providing access to said data network service by representing said selection of said at least one device component to said data network service by representing to said data network service as said aggregate logical device (Fig.2, col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45). However, Carter does not explicitly disclose logically association a selection of at least one device component.

- 51. In the same field of endeavor, Ching discloses (e.g., hierarchical comparison system and method). Ching discloses logically association a selection of at least one device component (Ching teaches selected components of the user computer 102), [see Ching col.11, lines 5-10, and col.4, line 5 to col.10, line 67].
- 52. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Ching's teachings of hierarchical document comparison system and method with the teachings of Carter, for the purpose of that the user can quickly identify the changes that have been made in the computer [see Ching col.2, lines 61-65]. Thus Carter provides the motivation by stating that there exist a need that provides an economic and efficient way to correct errors in computer networking software without having to develop an expensive independent stack in a time intensive manner [see Carter col.3, lines 49-53].
- 53. Claims 5, 10,16, 46, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter et al., (hereinafter Carter) U.S. Patent No. 6,266,788 in view of Phillip Waisin Ching., (hereinafter Ching) U.S. Patent No. 6,560,620 further in view of Marchetti et al., (hereinafter Marchetti) U.S. Patent No. 6,618,398.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,108

Art Unit: 2144

Page 15

- 54. As to claim 5, Carter does not teach stimulus message format employs the Session initiation Protocol (SIP). However, Marchetti teaches stimulus message format employs the Session initiation Protocol (SIP) (col.3, lines 30-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Carter and Marchetti have a Session initiation Protocol (SIP) because it would be useful to have a session of activity that a user with a unique IP address spends on a Web site.
- 55. As to claim 10, Carter does not teach encapsulating a message destined for a server of said data network service to result in an encapsulated message; and sending said encapsulated message to at least one of said selection of said least one device component of forwarding to said server of said data network service. However Marchetti teaches encapsulating a message destined for a server of said data network service to result in an encapsulated message; and sending said encapsulated message to at least one of said selection of said least one device component of forwarding to said server of said data network service (See Fig.1, and col.3, line30 to col.4, line 57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Carter and Marchetti have a encapsulating a message because it would be useful to provide a address resolution in an asymmetrical wireless communication network (see Marchatti col.3, lines 17-48).
- 56. As to claim 16, Carter does not teach receiving an encapsulated message, containing a message from a server of said data network service, from at least one of said selection of said at least one device component de-encapsulating said encapsulated

Application/Control Number: 09/695,108

Art Unit: 2144

message for forwarding to said first data network service adapter application. However, Marchetti teach receiving an encapsulated message, containing a message from a server of said data network service, from at least one of said selection of said at least one device component; and de-encapsulating said encapsulated message for forwarding to said first data network service adapter application (See Fig. 1, and col. 3, line 30 to col. 4, line 57, and col. 5, lines 7 – 65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Carter and Marchetti have a encapsulating a message because it would be useful to provide a address resolution in an asymmetrical wireless communication network (see Marchatti col. 3, lines 17-48).

Page 16

- 57. As to claim 46, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of providing device control to at least one device component, said device control enabling interaction of a data network service with said at least one device component, and method comprising: communicating with said at least one device component (Fig.1 shows device components communicate with each others); in an aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); maintaining a logical model of said aggregate logical device (Fig.4 shows logical model of aggregate logical device, and col.7, lines 39-49); and providing access to said data network service by representing said selection of said at least one device component to said data network service as said aggregate logical device (Fig.2, col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45). However, Carter does not explicitly disclose logically association a selection of at least one device component.
 - 58. In the same field of endeavor, Ching discloses (e.g., hierarchical comparison

Page 17

Application/Control Number: 09/695,108

Art Unit: 2144

system and method). Ching discloses logically association a selection of at least one device component (Ching teaches selected components of the user computer 102), [see Ching col.11, lines 5-10, and col.4, line 5 to col.10, line 67].

59. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Ching's teachings of hierarchical document comparison system and method with the teachings of Carter, for the purpose of that the user can quickly identify the changes that have been made in the computer [see Ching col.2, lines 61-65]. Thus Carter provides the motivation by stating that there exist a need that provides an economic and efficient way to correct errors in computer networking software without having to develop an expensive independent stack in a time intensive manner [see Carter col.3, lines 49-53].

Also, Carter does not explicitly teach encapsulating a message destined for a server of said data network service to result in an encapsulated message, and sending said encapsulated message to at least one of said selection of said at least one device component for forwarding to said server of said data network service. However Marchetti teaches encapsulating a message destined for a server of said data network service to result in an encapsulated message; and sending said encapsulated message to at least one of said selection of said least one device component of forwarding to said server of said data network service (See Fig.1, and col.3, line 30 to col.4, line 57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Carter and Marchetti have a encapsulating a message because it would be useful to provide a address resolution in an asymmetrical wireless communication network (see Marchatti col.3, lines 17-48).

- 60. As to claim 47, Carter teaches the invention as claimed, including a method of providing device control to at least one device component, said device control enabling interaction of a data network service with said at least one device component, and method comprising: communicating with said at least one device component in an aggregate logical device (Fig.1 shows device components communicate with each others); maintaining a logical model of said aggregate logical device (col.7, lines 45-50); and providing access to said data network service as said aggregate logical device (Fig.4 shows logical model of aggregate logical device, and col.7, lines 39-49); wherein said data network service is a first data network service (Fig.2, col.4, lines 57-64, and col.5, lines 20-45); wherein said providing further comprises, executing a first data network service adapter application corresponding to a server of said first data network service (Fig.1 server 17), and logically associating said first data network service adapter application with said aggregate logical device (see col.4, lines 40-45). However, Carter does not explicitly disclose logically association a selection of at least one device component.
- 61. In the same field of endeavor, Ching discloses (e.g., hierarchical comparison zsystem and method). Ching discloses logically association a selection of at least one device component (Ching teaches selected components of the user computer 102), [see Ching col.11, lines 5-10, and col.4, line 5 to col.10, line 67].
- 62. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Ching's teachings of hierarchical document comparison system and method with the teachings of Carter, for the purpose of that the user can quickly identify the changes that have been made in the

computer [see Ching col.2, lines 61-65]. Thus Carter provides the motivation by stating that there exist a need that provides an economic and efficient way to correct errors in computer networking software without having to develop an expensive independent stack in a time intensive manner [see Carter col.3, lines 49-53].

Also, Carter does not explicitly teaches receiving an encapsulated message, containing a message from a server of said data network service, from at least one of said selection of said at least one device component, and de-encapsulating said encapsulated message for forwarding to said first data network service adapter application. However, Marchetti teach receiving an encapsulated message, containing a message from a server of said data network service, from at least one of said selection of said at least one device component; and de-encapsulating said encapsulated message for forwarding to said first data network service adapter application (See Fig.1, and col.3, line30 to col.4, line 57, and col.5, lines 7 –65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Carter and Marchetti have a encapsulating a message because it would be useful to provide a address resolution in an asymmetrical wireless communication network (see Marchatti col.3, lines 17-48).

Conclusion

63. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammy T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3929. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 - 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,108 Page 20

Art Unit: 2144

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *William Vaughn* can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TTN October 14, 2006

WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100